

Dkt. No: GONZR-P01
Appln. No.: 10/671,977
Reply to Office action of 01/12/05

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims outstanding in this application are Claims 1-11, 14-37, and 40-64 for a total of three independent claims and 60 total claims; claims 12-13 and 38-39 having been deleted. In
5 consideration of the amendments made above and the remarks which follow, applicant hereby respectfully traverses all rejections and requests reconsideration and allowance of the claims currently pending in this application.

As to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph,
10 requesting correction or clarification as to the 'first support means' being a part of the camera stabilizer or being used to support the camera stabilizer, the following clarification is provided with reference being made to Figure 1.

The invention, camera stabilizer, has three main elements;
15 [1] the base, reference character 11, upon which a camera or similar device is secured; [2] the first support means, reference character 30, which is placed on a user's shoulder and also attaches to the base via the rod, thereby providing support for the base and for the overall and completely assembled unit
20 referred to as the camera stabilizer; and [3] the second support means, reference character 40, which attaches to a user on one end at or near to the user's waist and attaches to the base at its other end, thereby providing support for the base and for the

AMDT: Page 17 of 19

Dkt. No: GONZR-P01
Appln. No.: 10/671,977
Reply to Office action of 01/12/05

overall and completely assembled unit referred to as the camera stabilizer.

Both structures, first and second support means, are part of the camera stabilizer and serve to provide support for it and the base 11. Applicant has also made corrections to the affected claims to further clarify this matter and submits that this clarification and correction overcomes the rejection obviating need for further clarification and/or correction.

Claim 1 was rewritten to include all the elements and limitations of allowable Claim 13, and limitations of any intervening claims [i.e., Claim 12], thereby rendering Claim 1 allowable. As Claims 2-11 and 14-22 either directly or indirectly devolve and depend from, Claim 1, such claims are also allowable.

Claim 23 was rewritten to include all the elements and limitations of allowable Claim 39, and limitations of any intervening claims [i.e., Claim 38], thereby rendering Claim 23 allowable. As Claims 24-37 and 40-44 either directly or indirectly devolve and depend from, Claim 23, such claims are also allowable.

Claim 45 is new and includes all the elements of original Claim 1 and all the elements and limitations of allowable Claim 19, and limitations of any intervening claims [i.e., Claim 18], thereby rendering Claim 45 allowable. As Claims 46-64 either

AMDT: Page 18 of 19

Dkt. No: GONZR-F01
Appln. No.: 10/671,977
Reply to Office action of 01/12/05


directly or indirectly devolve and depend from, Claim 45, such
claims are also allowable.

Applicant requests reconsideration in view of the amendments
and remarks made herein and early issuance of a Notice of

5 Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

7750 Dagget St., Ste 203
San Diego, CA 92111
Phone: 858-565-0799
Fax: 858-268-9968



Frank G. Morkunas
Reg. No. 38,974, C/N 23,653
Attorney for Applicant